

LONG DRAWN-OUT.

ANOTHER DAY'S TESTIMONY IN THE CONTEMPT CASE.

Two Witnesses Manage to Occupy the Stand the Greater Part of the Day—Not Concluded.

In the case of the *Dee* publishers against G. W. McKay and others, for alleged contempt of Court in disregarding the restraining order of Judge Armstrong, two witnesses—Messrs. Robinette and Harber—were on the stand up to a late hour in the afternoon.

When the Court convened in the morning, Judge Dunson, of counsel for the prosecution, offered in evidence copies of the *Trades Union*, issued between October 12th and November 18th. To this Mr. Johnson, counsel for the respondents, objected, strong and so persistently that it caused a sharp tilt between himself and Judge Armstrong. Counsel contended that the Court was unjustly severe, and declined to conclude his argument on the point at issue.

The first witness called was J. L. Robinette, Secretary of the Typographical Union. He was asked if he had brought his books with him, and he replied that, acting under instructions of counsel, he had not.

Mr. Johnson argued that the subpoena did not state what books or records the witness was to bring, and the Court held that the point was well taken. The witness was, however, allowed to bring certain ones with him in the afternoon.

The witness was questioned as to his connection with the Typographical Union, and he was asked to state the nature of the organization, which he did so in a general way.

Witness was asked as to whether the Executive Committee was a lawfully connected with the publication of the *Trades Union*, to which he replied that he had no personal knowledge of the committee having anything to do with the paper. He did not know who represented the Union in the Court of Federal Trades. There are now, so far as he knew, no representatives of the Union to that body.

Mr. Hinkson read from the *Pacific Union* a report of the annual meeting and election of the Sacramento Typographical Union, and witness testified that he had no knowledge of the Executive Committee. Witness was not aware of any changes having since occurred in the personnel of the committee.

Mr. Hinkson asked a number of questions by which he said it was sought to prove that the committee had been the Typographical Union and the Federal Trades was such that money passed from the one to the other, and that the Federal Trades was the medium through which was published in the *Trades Union* the objectionable matter complained of.

Mr. Johnson objected to the evidence, and witness was examined as to reports made by Federal Trades delegates to the Typographical Union. He said there had been some verbal reports made, but he did not remember what they were, and could not recall the dates when such reports were made.

Witness said he believed the Typographical Union had had something to do with the publication of the *Trades Union* in the way of advancing some money.

At the afternoon session Mr. Robinette's testimony was read, and he testified that he did not know what transpired at the November meeting of the Typographical Union, as the minutes had not been written up, and he had lost the manuscript thereof. He remembered that a bill of S. E. Carrington was presented for printing, and allowed. He could not state the nature of the demand.

These answers were all admitted against the objections of Mr. Dunson.

Witness testified that an assessment was levied on the members of the Union about the 27th of October, for the week ending November 1st, on the recommendation of the Executive Committee.

Mr. Hinkson insisted on the witness reading the entire report, which Mr. Johnson strenuously objected.

The Court directed the witness to read, and he did so. The report was to the effect that the committee recommended the publication of a paper to be called the *Trades Union*, for the purpose of making public the printer's side of the controversy with the *Dee*.

Witness testified that at the last meeting of the Union another assessment was levied, but there was nothing to show what the money was for. All moneys collected are paid into the general fund.

In reply to a question Mr. Hinkson, witness said he had never heard of such a committee as the "Boycott Committee." He had never heard of the Executive Committee having been instructed to take charge of the boycott against the *Dee*.

Witness knew nothing as to how the printing bill had been contracted. There was a report made to the Union in November, head the report read, but his memorandum of the meeting proceedings had been mislaid and he could not find them. He did not see the report. It related to the amount due agents by day and night.

On Monday night the witness gave his name as E. O. Munger, was taken into an alley in the vicinity of Third and L streets and robbed of his watch and chain. He was more or less under the influence of liquor at the time.

John Manderville, a son of the late Hon. J. W. Manderville, was going up N street about a quarter before 7 o'clock last evening, and when he was about halfway up the street he was set upon by three men who started in to rob him.

Manderville fought back, and they knocked him down, and one of the trio kicked him on the back of the head. The young man lay on the ground for help, and Joseph Bailey Jr., an officer, came to his relief and the robbers got away. They did not succeed in getting any plunder.

People claim to be at night should go prepared to give the thugs a dose of leaden pills. That is the best known remedy for the disease that afflicts that element.

ROUGH EXPERIENCES.

A PARTY OF HUNTERS CAUGHT IN A SIERRA SNOW-STORM.

They Abandon Everything and Break Their Way Out Through Forty Miles of Snow.

Gil and Robert Coons, of Elk Grove, together with two other young men of that locality, had an exciting adventure last week, in which they came near losing their lives. A few weeks ago they left Elk Grove on an expedition to the higher Sierra Nevada, in the vicinity of Robinson creek, in quest of deer, but saw any other profitable game that might come in their way.

Although late in the season, and past the time when cattlemen, trappers and others all leave the higher ranges of the mountains for the valley, to escape the awful storms that bury that locality under from twenty to forty feet of snow, they believed they would be able to get a week or two of hunting before the snow came.

The quartet of hunters succeeded in reaching their destination without accident or incident, and established a comfortable camp. After getting everything in readiness, they set out after deer, and met with good success. Several fine bucks were killed and taken to their camp. They had in a few days accumulated a large number of those that come from Spain, but they were usually in heavy supply during the fall of the year. The Muscat grays are not fanned out so far, although a few may be seen, well, we think it will be two or three years before our people will take to them. There are some fine muscats in the market, such as the Tokay, which may do pretty well, but the Tokay is the grand game for New York.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

As containing information of interest to all fruit-growers Mr. McKee has written to make the following extract from a letter received by him from one of the great fruit-growers of New York City.

THE GLACKEN-FISHER CASE.

Some Additional Testimony Taken Before Justice Devine.

Three witnesses were called before Justice Devine yesterday. One of the witnesses was Dr. Parkinson, who was re-examined in regard to the nature of Glacken's wound and the course taken by the bullet.

The witness testified that he had previously testified that he believed the bullet was extracted at a point some ten inches higher than that at which it was removed, but yesterday the witness testified that the point where the bullet was extracted was some four inches higher than where it entered, as he had recently discovered by actual measurement. Owing to the conformation of the parts at the point of entrance, the defective tendency would be downward.

The point at issue was as to the angle at which the bullet entered the body. Dr. Parkinson testified that he went to Folsom by train on the day before the shooting (which was Sunday, J. L. Gillis, Superintendent of that line of the railroad, was called and testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

THE GLACKEN-FISHER CASE.

Some Additional Testimony Taken Before Justice Devine.

Three witnesses were called before Justice Devine yesterday. One of the witnesses was Dr. Parkinson, who was re-examined in regard to the nature of Glacken's wound and the course taken by the bullet.

The witness testified that he had previously testified that he believed the bullet was extracted at a point some ten inches higher than that at which it was removed, but yesterday the witness testified that the point where the bullet was extracted was some four inches higher than where it entered, as he had recently discovered by actual measurement. Owing to the conformation of the parts at the point of entrance, the defective tendency would be downward.

The point at issue was as to the angle at which the bullet entered the body. Dr. Parkinson testified that he went to Folsom by train on the day before the shooting (which was Sunday, J. L. Gillis, Superintendent of that line of the railroad, was called and testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

Dr. Parkinson testified that he was called by the witness to the stand, to show that he testified that no regular trains were run on that road on the day referred to, but said that he might have been a special and that special, he could not say whether anyone had been allowed to ride or not.

CHANGED DAILY FOR WEINSTOCK, LUBIN & CO.

Men's Holiday Slippers, 75 cents to \$3 50.

Velvet, Goatskin, Alligator, Chenille, Patent Leather, Plush.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing Goods in the city.

The largest assortment of Men's Clothing and Furnishing

